

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/696,532	10/28/2003	Naobumi Okada	03653/LH	6456
1933 75	90 05/03/2005		EXAMINER	
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC 767 THIRD AVENUE			ELVE, MARIA ALEXANDRA	
25TH FLOOR	ENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, 1	NY 10017-2023		1725	
			DATE MAILED: 05/03/200:	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/696,532	OKADA, NAOBUMI				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		M. Alexandra Elve	1725				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT usions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days are to reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TON. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3) period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS y statute, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed (0) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		·		·			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on) .		·			
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the o	•	• •				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	- · ·	•				
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	- •					
	application from the International E		zerveu in ulis National Stage.				
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for	•	reived:				
		and the second second field to the second se					
Attachment	·(e)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumi	mary (PTO_413)				
2) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	Paper No(s)/M	ail Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/28/03</u> .	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/696,532

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 7, 10-16, 18, 20-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bonner et al. (USPN 6,251,516).

Bonner et al. disclose isolation of cellular material under microscopic visualization. An imagining system is used to receive sample images. A laser is used to cut the desired section, which is then transfer to a slide for further examination and analysis. (abstract, figures, col. 3-8)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6, 8-9, 17, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bonner et al. as stated above and further in view of Caprioli (USPN 6,756,586).

Art Unit: 1725

Bonner et al. does not teach the evaporation of the imaging sample. Caprioli discloses the use of a laser beam to release samples for analysis. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the release technique as taught by Caprioli in the Bonner et al. system because this yields more data for study and evaluation.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See US PTO 892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Alexandra Elve whose telephone number is 571-272-1173. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

May 1, 2005.

M. Alexandra Elve

Primary Examiner 1725